UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532,915	04/27/2005	Josephus Antonius Huisken	NL 021082	4930	
	HILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS		NL 021082	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 3001			MALZAHN, DAVID H		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2193		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/11/2008	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/532,915	HUISKEN, JOSEPHUS ANTONIUS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	DAVID H. MALZAHN	2193			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. ely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	-· action is non-final.				
·—		secution as to the	merits is		
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
dissect in assertation with the practice and in E.	x parte quayre, 1000 0.D. 11, 10	0.0.210.			
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 April 2005</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National S	Stage		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/12/06.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa 6)  Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/532,915 Page 2

Art Unit: 2193

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Owen and

McCartney.

Owen shows a method and an apparatus for creating a waveform by superposition of N

waves wherein each of the waves has a particular frequency or tone, note the abstract. It would

have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

generate Owen's waveform by use of a single CORDIC because McCartney teaches that a single

CORDIC may be used to generate multiple tones or frequencies via time-multiplexing, note the

last line of the abstract.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and

requirements of this title.

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed

to non-statutory subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,915 Page 3

Art Unit: 2193

The claims are directed to software per se which fails to fall within any of the statutory categories of invention.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are required to be rewritten in accordance with U.S. practice. A method claim should be basically a sequence of actively performed steps and an apparatus claim should be basically a combination of structurally interconnected elements.

### Claim Objections

5. Claim 10 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim must refer to other claims only in the alternative. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

### Specification

6. It is improper for the specification to refer to claims.

# **Drawings**

7. It appears that Fig. 1 should be labeled "Prior Art". In Figs. 1 and 3 all elements are required to be appropriately labeled so as to readily identify the elements represented.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,915 Page 4

Art Unit: 2193

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to DAVID H. MALZAHN whose telephone number is (571)272-

3727. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 7:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lewis A. Bullock Jr, can be reached on 571-272-3759. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/David H. Malzahn/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2193

David H. Malzahn **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 2193